Statewide FY2015 Drinking Water Planning Grant Priority List						
Rank	Water System Name	Rating Points	Region	Total Project Cost	DEQ Grant Amount	Project Description
1*	Smith Road Water Users	122	PRO	\$25,000	\$12,500	Planning document to bring the system into compliance with current regulations.
2*	City of Parker	89	IFRO	\$40,000	\$20,000	Planning document to address an aging system and explore implementing a capital improvement plan/fund.
3*	Santa Water and Sewer District	86	CRO	\$40,000	\$20,000	Address problems with adequate storage and lack of redundancy.
4*	Syringa Heights Water District	84	CRO	\$35,000	\$17,500	Evaluate inadequate pressure and undersized mains.
5*	City of Rexburg	80	IFRO	\$22,500	\$11,250	Completion of the environmental information document.
6*	Panorama Hills Water Corporation	77	IFRO	\$25,000	\$12,500	Planning document to evaluate issues related to distribution, standby power, and redundancy.
7*	Oakley Valley Water Corporation	72	TFRO	\$30,000	\$15,000	Planning document to address operational pressures, source capacity, storage and emergency power.
8*	City of Hazelton	69	TFRO	\$80,000	\$40,000	Planning document to address distribution inadequacies, aging service meters, future growth and lack of standby power.
9*	City of Aberdeen	68	PRO	\$50,000	\$25,000	Planning document of the current and projected water demands, production and redundant supply in relation to the regulations.
10*	City of Emmett	67	BRO	\$55,000	\$27,500	Planning document to address growth, redundancy, standby power and distribution deficiencies.
11*	Southside Water & Sewer District	67	CRO	\$95,400	\$47,700	Evaluate options for supply, treatment, storage and distribution upgrades.
12*	City of Bliss	64	TFRO	\$39,400	\$19,700	Planning document to evaluate system hydraulics, identify system deficiencies and plan for future growth.
13*	Sugar City Water	63	IFRO	\$50,000	\$25,000	Update planning document to include storage, distribution and treatment.
14*	City of Rockland	61	PRO	\$40,000	\$20,000	Planning document to evaluate storage, emergency conditions and fire flow.
15*	City of Georgetown	56	PRO	\$40,000	\$20,000	Evaluate the City's water supply and distribution system.
16	City of Homedale	56	BRO	\$85,000	\$42,500	Planning document to address distribution deficiencies.

^{*}Denotes entities that will receive grant funding (\$350,000 total available). Entities with equal rating points were giving a higher ranking based on greater health related needs.

WARNING: USE OF THIS LIST AS A MAILING LIST OR AS A TELEPHONE NUMBER LIST IS PROHIBITED BY IDAHO CODE SECTION 9-348 AND IS PUNISHABLE BY A CIVIL PENALTY OF UP TO \$1,000.